

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

TARA AMADO, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, and the general public,

Plaintiff,

V.

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE CO.,

## Defendant

Civil Case No.: 3:23-cv-06308-MMC


**{PROPOSED} ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY CASE  
PENDING RESOLUTION OF MOTION  
TO TRANSFER RELATED ACTION TO  
THIS FORUM**

Before the Court is Defendant The Procter & Gamble Company’s (“P&G”) motion to stay the proceedings in this action pending resolution of P&G’s motion to transfer a related action, *Pellegrino v. Procter & Gamble Co.*, Civil Case No. 7:23-cv-10631-KMK (S.D.N.Y.), to this forum. Having considered the briefing of the parties, the Court finds that P&G’s motion should be **GRANTED**.

There is good cause for a stay of this case. *See Grundstrom v. Wilco Life Insurance Co.*, 2023 WL 8429789, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 4, 2023) (discussing relevant factors).<sup>1</sup> A brief stay will not give the prejudice Plaintiff; proceeding while the status of *Pellegrino* is unresolved would prejudice P&G, and potential for duplication of effort, and staying the case so that *Pellegrino* might be consolidated or coordinated with this action would serve the interests of judicial economy. The parties shall submit a joint status report to this Court within 14 days of receiving an order from the Southern District of New York regarding P&G's motion to transfer, shall which should include a proposal for how to proceed.

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: April 3, 2024

  
HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE